

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE Northern District of Illinois – CM/ECF NextGen 1.8 (rev. 1.8.5)
Eastern Division**

Shaun A. House, et al.

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.: 1:17-cv-05018

Honorable Thomas M. Durkin

Akorn, Inc., et al.

Defendant.

NOTIFICATION OF DOCKET ENTRY

This docket entry was made by the Clerk on Thursday, February 12, 2026:

MINUTE entry before the Honorable Thomas M. Durkin: The motions to reconsider [145] [148] and [151] in case 17-cv-5016, and the motion to reconsider [76] in case 17-cv-5018, are granted in part, denied in part, and continued in part, in accordance with this order. The motions are denied in that the Court reaffirms its grant of Intervenor Thomas Frank's motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) to reopen the case, for the reasons stated in the Court's order of March 10, 2025 on docket 17-cv-5016 [141]. The motions are granted in that the Court enters this order to show cause why the parties listed below should not be sanctioned pursuant to 15 U.S.C. section 78u4(c)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, for their conduct in filing complaints seeking disclosures that were not "plainly material" in cases 17-cv-05016, 17-cv-05017, 17-cv-05018, and 17-cv-05021. The parties should also address whether return of attorney's fees is a necessary, appropriate, and sufficient sanction, and whether any additional sanctions are warranted, particularly the sanctions suggested by Intervenor Frank in his motion filed July 9, 2024 [129]. The parties' responses to this order to show cause are due 2/27/2026; Frank's response is due 3/13/2026; and the parties' replies are due 3/20/2026. The parties who are the subject of this rule to show cause are the following: Gina M. Serra and Rigrotsky Law P.A.; Brian D. Long and LongLaw, LLC; Richard A. Maniskas and RM Law, P.C.; Donald J. Enright, Elizabeth K. Tripodi, and Levi & Korsinsky, LLP; Jaun E. Monteverde and Monteverde & Associates PC; James M. Wilson, Jr., Nadeem Faruqi, and Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP. Each individual person listed here is permitted to file a brief of 15 pages on behalf of themselves and their firm, except for Ms. Serra who is permitted a brief of 30 pages. Reply briefs are limited to 10 pages. Frank is permitted a response brief to Ms. Serra of 30 pages, and separate combined response brief of 30 pages to all other parties. While the Court has already addressed many, if not all, of the issues necessary to find sanctionable conduct and that return of attorney's fees is an appropriate and necessary sanction (see order of June 24, 2019, [81] on docket 17-cv-5018, and orders of March 10, 2025 [141] and March 17, 2025 [143] on docket 17-cv-5016), and the parties have already had ample opportunity to address the relevant issues (which is the sole purpose of an order to show cause) the Court is entering this order to show cause in an abundance of caution to ensure that all parties have an opportunity to be heard on these issues in a manner that satisfies the requirements of Due Process and Rule 11. The Court will decide whether to reconsider any findings made in

the orders of March 10, 2025 [141] and March 17, 2025 [143] as part of its consideration of the parties' briefs filed in response to this order. Mailed notice. (ecw,)

ATTENTION: This notice is being sent pursuant to Rule 77(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Rule 49(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. It was generated by CM/ECF, the automated docketing system used to maintain the civil and criminal dockets of this District. If a minute order or other document is enclosed, please refer to it for additional information.

For scheduled events, motion practices, recent opinions and other information, visit our web site at www.ilnd.uscourts.gov.